(4)
(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3353 /2006 SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER

T.S.Chaturvedi, S/o. Shiv Prasad Chaturvedi, aged about 50 years, R/o. Sonakhan, Tah. (Kasdol) Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3415/06
Presented by Shri Anand Ku Tiwari
dated 6/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Forest, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Conservator of Forest, Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Range, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.).

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 2073/2002 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3353/ सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11-7-2006 Mr. Anand Kumar Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 3-7-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Sonakhan, Forest Range: Raipur, District: Raipur to West Pandariya, Forest Range: Kawardha, District: Kabirdham. The main ground for challenge is that as per clause 2 sub-clause (2) of the transfer policy dated 26-5-2006 (Annexure P-2), transfer should be made only in necessity, it should be minimum in number and that an employee who has not completed three years of service at one place should not normally be transferred, whereas, the petitioner has completed only about one year nine months in the present place of posting. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 annexing a copy of the instant writ	

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3353/ सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	petition within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within one month from the date of its receipt, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2576/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)